

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/833,401 | | 04/11/2001 | Roman S. Ferber | HOME 0459 PUS | 3432 |
| | 7590 | 05/07/2002 | | | |
| Kevin J. He | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Brooks & Ku 22nd Floor | | .C. | KOO, BENJAMIN KIM | | |
| 1000 Town C Southfield, M | | 5-1351 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | | 3764 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|------|--|--|--|
| | | • . | 09/833,401 | • | ROMAN S. FERBER ET AL. | ON | | | |
| | Offic | Action Summary | Examin r | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Benjamin Koo | | 3764 | | | | |
| Period for | | ING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover si | h t with the co | orr spondence address | | | | |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the pe - If NO po - Failure to - Any repl | AILING E ons of time r ((6) MONTI riod for reply eriod for repl to reply withi ly received b | O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. May be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply It is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute It is the set of the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be | r, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from t ecome ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ١. | | | |
| 1)⊠ [| Respons | ive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 (</u> | October 2001 . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action | on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-fina | l. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ C | laim(s) | <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | | | |
| 4a | a) Of the | above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from considerati | on. | | | | | |
| 5)□ C | laim(s) _ | is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ C | Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)□ C | laim(s) _ | is/are objected to. | | | • | | | | |
| 8) C | laim(s) _ | are subject to restriction and/o | r election requireme | ent. | | | | | |
| Application | n Papers | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 Th | e specifi | cation is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 Th | e drawin | g(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep | oted or b) objected | to by the Exan | niner. | | | | |
| | | may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| 11) 🗌 Th | e propos | sed drawing correction filed on | _is: a) ☐ approved | b) disapprov | ved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | | ed, corrected drawings are required in rep | • | ٦. | | | | | |
| 12)∐ Th | e oath o | r declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority un | der 35 U | .S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) 🗌 A | cknowled | dgment is made of a claim for foreigr | priority under 35 U | J.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) <u></u> □ | All b)□ |] Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. | . Cer | tified copies of the priority document | s have been receive | ed. | | | | | |
| 2. | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | • | oies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17. | 2(a)). | - | | | | |
| 14) <u></u> Acl | knowledg | gment is made of a claim for domesti | c priority under 35 l | J.S.C. § 119(e) |) (to a provisional applicati | on). | | | |
| | _ | anslation of the foreign language progment is made of a claim for domest | * * | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s |) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of | of Draftsper | res Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u> | 5) 🔲 No | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| J S. Patent and Trade PTO-326 (Rev. | | Office Ac | tion Summary | | Part of Paper No. | 8 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/833,401 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10, and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern et al. '759 in view of Leung '243. Stern shows an air bubble massage mat system comprising: an air pump/controller (22), a mat (20), a hose (24), switches (column 4, lines 21-24), and a heating element (120), but does not show the remote control. Leung shows the remote control and associated mounting means in a similar device. It would be obvious to use a remote control because remote controls are old and well known in the art to provide convenience and ease of use. Limitations regarding various control parameters and programs are considered obvious design choices, well within the knowledge of a skilled artisan to suit various needs and applications as deemed fit by the user.
- 3. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern and Leung as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Voorlas '227. Stern and Leung show all the structural and functional limitations of the invention except for the flexible material. Voorlas shows a similar device using a flexible mat. It would have been obvious to use a flexible mats because both mats are considered obvious art-

Application/Control Number: 09/833,401

Art Unit: 3764

recognized alternative massage bubble mat alternatives, known to one of ordinary skill, absent any unexpected results.

- Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 4. over Stern and Leung as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gonzalez '061. Stern and Leung show all the structural and functional limitations of the invention except for the suction cups. Gonzalez shows suction cups (76) in a bath mat. It would be obvious to use suction cups in Stern because the use of suction cups is old and wellknown in the art to provide convenient removable attachment means to facilitate stability and minimize slippage.
- Claims 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5. Voorlas in view of Rinaldo '002. Voorlas shows a air bubble massage mate comprising: a flexible vinyl member having two layers (11) secured/bonded together forming passageways, a receptacle (19), and holes (21) allowing inflation, but does not show the blocks/foam members. Rinaldo shows an inner foam layer (10) in a bath mat. It would have been obvious to incorporate foam in Voorlas as demonstrated by Rinaldo to provide additional cushioning and comfort for the user.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Koo whose telephone number is 703-308-2657. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W-F; 9:30-8.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-746-4892 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,401 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

bk May 6, 2002

> Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner